NSR (BAE) REFORM WORKGROUP

MEETING NOTES

No. 001

Date: May 3, 2004

Place: IDNR - Urbandale, IA

Project/Purpose: NSR Workgroup

BAE Subgroup Kick-off Meeting

Attendees: Kelly Jorgensen, AGP

Wayne Jochmann, ALCOA Steve Van Ootegham, Terracon Pete Hamlin, MidAmerican Energy

Julie Peshkin, Monsanto

Leo Nichols, Northern Natural Gas

Richard Ney, Sebesta Blomberg & Associates

James Hodina, Stanley Consultants Lisa Schmidt, Thompson Environmental

Mike Valde, University of Iowa Gene Taylor, Roquette America, Inc. Fred Van Schepen, John Deere

Gary Smith, IDNR Dave Phelps, IDNR

Notes By: James Hodina

The following meeting notes set forth our understanding of the discussions and decisions made at this meeting. If you have any questions, additions, or comments, please contact the writer immediately. If we do not hear from you, we will assume that our understandings are the same. We are proceeding based on the contents of these meeting notes.

| ITEM | SUBJECT | DISCUSSION | ACTION |
|------|---------------------|--|-----------------|
| 1 | Workgroup | Wayne Jochmann opened the meeting with discussion of | Wayne was |
| | Organization | who would chair the BAE Workgroup. Jim Hodina | unanimously |
| | | agreed to take minutes of the meeting. | confirmed as |
| | | | chair. |
| 2 | Subgroup Membership | A roll call of membership was taken. Gene Taylor | Update the BAE |
| | | (Roquette) and Fred Van Schepen (John Deere) were | Workgroup |
| | | additions to the BAE work group. Chuck Hallier | Membership List |
| | | (Cargill) and Bill Chrisman (GPC) were not present. | |
| | | Alan Arnold (Alliant) had moved from the BAE | |
| | | workgroup and into another NSR workgroup. | |
| 3 | Rule Development | The workgroup discussed whether to immediately | Agreed to move |
| | | recommend adopting the NSR rules as promulgated by | forward with |
| | | EPA and not to suggest other additions or clarifications | BAE analysis. |
| | | to the Iowa Code. At question was whether additional | |
| | | rules could be implemented without conflicting EPA | |
| | | guidelines. The workgroup decided that additional | |
| | | analysis of the NSR Reform Regulations was needed | |
| | | before such a final determination should be made. | |

SC 5018 1299 Page 1 of 3

| ITEM | SUBJECT | DISCUSSION | ACTION |
|------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|
| 4 | Identification of BAE | An approach for reviewing the NSR Reform Rules and | Identified issues |
| | Potential Issues | identifying potential BAE issues was discussed. The | are to be sent to |
| | | workgroup agreed to break up the rule in accordance with | Wayne |
| | | the outline contained in EPA's preamble, beginning at 67 | Jochmann by |
| | | FR 80195. Beginning with Item C and concluding with | May 24 th and |
| | | Item F, the following assignments were made: | Wayne will consolidate and |
| | | C1. Kelly Jorgensen | distribute to |
| | | C2. No action required | workgroup. |
| | | C3. Jim Hodina | workgroup. |
| | | C4. Jim Hodina | |
| | | C5. Fred Van Schepen | |
| | | C6. Lisa Schmidt | |
| | | C7. Julie Peshkin | |
| | | C8. No action required | |
| | | D1. Gene Taylor | |
| | | D2. No action required | |
| | | D3. Leo Nichols | |
| | | D4. Leo Nichols | |
| | | D5. Wayne Jochmann | |
| | | E. Pete Hamlin F1. Steve Van Ootegham | |
| | | F2. Steve Van Ootegham | |
| | | F3. Mike Valde | |
| | | 131 Miles Value | |
| | | Rick Ney agreed to review and summarize the NSR Reform definitions as they apply to the BAE workgroup. | |
| | | When reviewing assigned items, each person should consider: | |
| | | Applicability to EPA Response to Comments as provided in Item H of the Preamble (67 FR 80199). | |
| | | Applicability to BAE Workgroup | |
| | | Questions/Issues Identified (see handout). These | |
| | | are: | |
| | | o How do we handle debottlenecking? | |
| | | o How do we estimate and include start- | |
| | | up, shut-down, and/or malfunction | |
| | | emissions? | |
| | | How do we handle fugitive emissions?What is considered "demand growth" as | |
| | | o What is considered "demand growth" as there is no definition? | |
| | | o Under the netting recordkeeping, what is | |
| | | considered "reasonable possibility?" | |
| | | What other netting recordkeeping and | |
| | | regulatory approval requirements may be necessary? | |
| | | What is considered "accurate and | |
| | | defensible" data used for calculations? | |
| | | | |

SC 5018 1299 Page 2 of 3

| ITEM | SUBJECT | DISCUSSION | ACTION |
|------|----------------------|--|--|
| 5 | Discussion of Issues | It was identified that currently identified questions/issues before the BAE workgroup would have a presumptive priority over other issues subsequently identified. A discussion on debottlenecking occurred with some issues identified, although without apparent resolution. Some debottlenecking aspects discussed included: Is this within the scope of BAE? The status of associated EPA rulemaking (perhaps only if it is necessary to increase an existing permit limit). Increased plant utilization vs. debottlenecking (see Section H.8 of preamble regarding plant utilization). Define "what is not" debottlenecking vs. "what is debottlenecking. What existing applicable state regulations may be impacted or otherwise need to be considered by the NSR/BAE Reform Workgroup recommendations? | None |
| 6 | Next Meeting Date | It was agreed that the next meeting will be at June 7 th at 10:00 am and held at the IDNR offices in Urbandale. Wayne Jochmann and Jim Hodina will prepare a draft agenda for the workgroup to review and approve prior to the meeting. Workgroup members agreed that the first meeting was successful, but that greater pre-meeting preparation will be beneficial. | IDNR will reserve conference room. Lunch will not be brought in. |

Distribution:

NSR Workgroup

SC 5018 1299 Page 3 of 3